Working Group on Ecological Carrying Capacity in Aquaculture (WGECCA)

2018/MA2/ASG07 A Working Group on Ecological Carrying Capacity in Aquaculture (WGECCA), chaired by Jeff Fisher, Ireland, and Carrie Byron*, United States, will work on ToRs and generate deliverables as listed in the Table below.

	MEETING DATES	Venue	R EPORTING DETAILS	Comments (change in Chair, etc.)
Year 2019	9-11 April	ICES HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark	Interim report by 1 August	
Year 2020	27-29 May	By correspondence	Interim report by 26 June	Additional Chair in 2020 : Carrie Byron, United States
Year 2021	TBD	TBD	Final report by XXXX	

ToR descriptors

ToR	Description	Background	<u>Science Plan codes</u>	Duration	Expected Deliverables
a	for predicting and assessing the carrying	Building on work carried out by WGAQUA on benthic impacts on soft bottoms, it was appreciated that a review on drivers of ecological impacts, habitat sensitivity and current assessment methodologies is required. It will also be important to define the different carrying capacities approached (i.e., carrying capacities for what? Single species, multiple species, ecosystem based?), as well as to define which indicators can be used to assess these. Models may need to be created, or existing models applied, to balance different loads in any given system, and the working group will attempt to resolve and rationalize how such loads should be balanced.		year 1	Review paper
b	Considering diverse aquaculture production methodologies, including IMTA, explore those which provide enhanced ecosystem services (nutrient/carbon	Integrated Mult-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA), both as an aquaculture production method and as a means to consider the use of different trophic componants in an		Year 1	Prioritized list of research to elucidate knowledge gaps as part of WGECCA's annual reports in 2019

	management, habitat	ecosystem as mitigation,		
	value, etc) and/or may	or to provide enhanced		
		-		
	impact carrying capacity	2		
	.	(nutrient/carbon		
	an analysis of the effect on	-		
	carrying capacity at the	value, etc.) is high on the		
	basin-scale, where trophic	agenda in several		
	level interactions of	aquaculture producing		
	different species	countries. Analysis of the		
	occupying the same	effect on carring capacity		
	marine area may impact	from Basin Scale		
	carrying capacity for	Integrated Multi-Tropic		
	aquaculture. WGECCA,	Aquaculture (BSIMTA),		
	through international	where trophic level		
	cooperation and the	interactions of different		
	-			
	shared experiences of its	single species trophic level		
	members will focus on	industries produce		
	prioritizing thematic areas	-		
	that would be highly	products yet occupy the		
	beneficial to address in	same marine area is		
	future research.	needed. WG ECCA,		
		through international		
		cooperation and the		
		shared experiences of its		
		members, will focus on		
		prioritizing thematic areas		
		that would be highly		
		beneficial to address in		
		future research.		
c	Develop international	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6,	2.1 Year 2	•
c	guidelines on loads and	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high	2.1 Year 2	•
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area—	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
с	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied.	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how—	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how— recognizing that the	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how— recognizing that the answers to these scenarios	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how— recognizing that the	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area— either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how— recognizing that the answers to these scenarios	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area—either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how—recognizing that the answers to these scenarios will vary by the spatial	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area—either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how—recognizing that the answers to these scenarios will vary by the spatial scale of analysis, and in different geographic	2.1 Year 2	2020 as part of annu
c	guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) from aquaculture and its possible remediation.	The concept of carrying 5.5, 5.6, capacity is a measure to describe how a high biological load of single or multiple species may affect production of the cultured species and/or other species using the same habitat. It must be calculated within a specific spatial area—either locally or regionally, and uncertainty of measurement can be greatly affected by the spatial area to which the calculations are applied. WGECCA will need to define the different types of loads that could/should be considered, and how—recognizing that the answers to these scenarios will vary by the spatial scale of analysis, and in	2.1 Year 2	Deliver final report 2020 as part of annu WGECCA report.

		different loads present, but often one being dominating.		
d	current monitorir practises related f environmental concern Review mass balance an other modelling nutrient flow betwee multi trophic leve (farmed and wild) and f circular systems consider how suc modeling can be applied	of environmental concerns en related to local Is aquaculture activities. in This analysis would to indicate if monitoring ch objectives are consistent to and would help to identify ty any commonality in the	Year 2 & 3	Deliver progress report in 2020 and final report in 2021 as part of the WGECCA annual report
e	Review status an potential for low-troph aquaculture.	d A substantial increase in 5.5, 5.8 ic sustainable marine aquaculture production may be enhanced by further development of low trophic level aquaculture. WGECA aims to evaluate this potential in the shared waters of ICES member states including sea urchins, bivalve shellfish, macro algae, polychaetes. Opportunities and constraints by regional sea will be the focus of the analyses.	Years 2&3	Deliver progress report in 2020 and final report in 2021 as part of the WGECCA annual report

Summary of the Work Plan

Year 1	One term of reference a) review existing and developing methods for assessing carrying capacity and will be finalised and b) Recommendations for prioritized research to elucidate knowledge gaps in use of IMTA and other mitigating practises will be initialised.
Year 2	Term of reference b) and c)Development of international guidelines on loads and combinations of loads (indicators) will be finalised and terms of reference d) monitoring practises and e) low trophic aquaculture will be initalised.

Year 3

Term of reference d) and e) will be finalised and the final report will be submitted. The opportunity to produce a Viewpoints document pulling together multiple ToR's will be evaluated.

Supporting information

Priority	The activities of this Group will continue to lead ICES into the key scientific issues related to aquaculture – ecological carrying capacity including lower trophic aquaculture, use of aquaculture to enhance ecosystem services and so on, with a main focus to lay the scientific foundations for further sustainable aquaculture growth. The subject of ecological carrying capacity, and how to address it appropriately, has become fundamental to permitting decisions. Permitting decisions affect the potential for aquaculture to realize its potential in member states waters where ICES operates. ICES, and the expert working group framework it has developed, is particularly well poised to develop the international best practices for considering ecological carrying capacity in aquaculture permitting and its relationship to spatial planning. Such guidelines are needed if the sustainable aquaculture goals identified by respective ICES Member States are to be realized. Consequently, the activities of WGECCA are considered to have a high priority.
Resource requirements	Meeting logistics
Participants	The Group is normally attended by approximately 10 -20 members and guests.
Secretariat facilities	Meeting rooms at the Secretariat will be required
Financial	No financial implications envisaged for ICES.
Linkages to ACOM an groups under ACOM	Viewpoint document will establish an example of the types of advice countries will need to manage aquaculture to maximize ecosystem services and growth targets sustainably. Outputs may also have direct implications for governments working on nutrient and/or carbon trading systems. Habitat creation and nutrient management will have positive implications for wild capture fisheries.
Linkages to other committee or groups	There is a very close working relationship with all the groups of the Aquaculture Steering Group. We will seek to form links with the Working Group on Socio-Economic Dimensions of Aquaculture (WGSEDA) Working Group on Pathology and Diseases of Marine Organisms (WGPDMO), Working Group on Application of Genetics in Fisheries and Mariculture (WGAGFM), Working Group on Environmental Interactions of Aquaculture (WGEIA), and the Working Group on Scenario Planning on Aquaculture (WGSPAQ). It is also very relevant to the Working Groups, WGHABD, WGITMO, and WG Benthic Ecology.
Linkages to othe organizations	OSPAR, NASCO, EAFP, EFARO, EATIP, FAO, EU (EUMAP regulation), NOAA, DFO